Water Commission held in February, 1992, the State Gvernment is required to send compliance to the comments of Central Water Commission on water availabilityfi design flood, conjunctive utilisation of surface and ground waters and international aspect.

(c) Does not arise.

(d) A statement is attacked.

Statement

Out/ays as recommended by the working group of the planning Commission

(Rupees crore)

SI. No.	Name of Project	During the year		1991-92
		1989-90	1990-91	
	Maior			
1.	Dhansiri	12.00	10. 00	12.80
2.	Champamati	4.50	4.00	11.00
	Medium			
1.	Kaldiya	1.77	1.50	—
2-	Dekadong	1.00		
3.	Bardikaroi	4.11	2.00	2.12
4.	Integrated Kollong	3.50	2.00	2.50
5.	Pahumara	4-.00	300	5.00
6.	Buridihing	1.00	1.00	1.20
7.	Borolia	4.00	2.00	4.00
8.	Kollonga	0.20	0.14	0.50
9.	Hawaipur	0.36	0.40	0.76
10.	Kaliabor	0. 50	—	—
11.	Rupahi	0. 08	—	—

Inter State Water Disputes

359. SHRI S. K. T. RAMACHANDRAN: Will the Minister of WATER RESOURCES be pleased to stale:

(a) whether Government propose to set up a constitutional parmanent tribunal to se'tfe the Inter-State water disputes;

(b) if so, the details in this regard; and

(c) if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI VIDYACHARAN SHUKLA): (a) No such proposal is under consideration.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Government have already enacted Inter-State Water Disputes Act, 1956 and River Boards Act, 1956 to provide for the adjudication of disputes relating to waters of inter-State rivers and river valleys and to provide for establishment of river boards for the regulation and development of inter-State rivers and rirer valley respectively.